

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/730,274 | 12/09/2003 | Jesse G. James | 48-1009 | 2546 | |
| 7 | 7590 10/05/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Plumsea Law Group, LLC Suite 320 | | | TON, ANABEL | | |
| 10411 Motor City Drive | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Bethesda, MD 20817 | | | 2875 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occasion | 10/730,274 | JAMES, JESSE G. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Anabel M. Ton | 2875 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 De | ecember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12- 14</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ratent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |
| PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac | ction Summary Pa | art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050905 | | | | |

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chianale et al (5,906,431).
- 3. Chianale discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of the mounting assembly for mounting the headlamp to a motorcycle frame. Chianale suggests a mounting assembly for mounting the headlight housing to a motor vehicle frame (col. 2. lines 40-44, col. 3 lines 1-25) and adjustment means for adjusting the headlight housing with respect to a motor vehicle frame after assembly thereto (col. 2 lines 19-42). With regards to the headlamp being mounted to a motorcycle frame, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the headlamp assembly of Chianale to a motorcycle since Chianale teaches a movable headlamp assembly for a motor vehicle and the advantages thereof. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case applicant provides no structure for the

Application/Control Number: 10/730,274 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

mounting assembly to distinguish the mounting assembly of the instant invention from that of Chianale.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-10 are allowed.
- 2. Claim s 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art cited does not teach the combination of a headlight housing having a lens on a forward side thereof, and an integral boss incorporating a socket a mating ball provided at one end of a ball shah, the other end of said ball shaft adapted to be rigidly affixed to a motorcycle frame, wherein said ball and said socket are matingly engaged to provide a ball and socket joint structure enabling rotational and translational movement of said ball and said socket relative to one another; and a positioning arm having one end attached to said headlight housing via a retaining boss integrally provided on said housing, and an opposite end adapted to be rotatably attached to the motorcycle frame, said positioning arm being adjustable lengthwise and movable both

Application/Control Number: 10/730,274

Art Unit: 2875

rotationally and translationally relative to said retaining boss; at least two straight segments joined together by an adjuster there between. .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMT

Anabel M Ton Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 2875

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800